

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/766,104	RHEE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	BLESSING M. FUBARA	1613	

All Participants:

(1) BLESSING M. FUBARA.

(2) Hai Han.

Date of Interview: 30 September 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

3, 37, 42 and 45.

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed to cancel claims 3, 37 because the compositions in these claims appear to have a step of cross-linking that does not reflect in-situ cross-linking. Claims 42 and 45 depending from claim 37 were also agreed to be canceled. Applicant may pursue the embodiment of claims 3 and 35 in different applications.